

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Vu/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/733,892	12/11/2003	Gary W. Johnson	3448-129	1553
7590 03/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			WHITTINGTON, KENNETH	
Bank One Cente	er/Tower		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 3700 111 Monument Circle Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137				TALLKNOWIDER
			2862	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/733,892	JOHNSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth J Whittington	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	— nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,11-19,30,31 and 36-40</u> is/are 17) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,9,10 and 32-35</u> is/are objected to restriction and 18 □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 19	rawn from consideration. rejected. to.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Italian 	l/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se the ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pri	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/733,892 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6-8, 11, 12, 14-19, 30, 31, 36 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mase (US 6,414,482). Regarding these claims, Mase discloses:

a magnet having opposite poles, positioned along a rotational axis such that the axis extends through a central portion of the magnet, and defining a direction of magnetization perpendicular to the rotational axis (See Mase FIGS. 8 and 9, item 130, note rotational axis at intersection of lines L1 and L2);

Art Unit: 2862

a first pole piece adjoined to a first magnet pole surface to provide a first magnetic field (See FIGS. 8 and 9, item 129A) and a second pole piece adjoined to a second magnet pole surface to provide a second magnetic field (See FIGS. 8 and 9, item 129B), each pole piece having an arcuate, arc-shaped, semi-annular or generally u-shaped configuration (See FIGS. 8 and 9), and each has a rectilinear configuration viewed from the side (See FIG. 13);

a first magnetic sensor disposed in the first magnetic field to sense the variations of field flux density of the first magnetic field and to provide an output signal representative thereof (See FIGS. 8 and 9, item 126 near pole piece 129A and col. 11, lines 4-19); and

a second magnetic sensor disposed in the second magnetic field to sense the variations of field flux density of the second magnetic field and to provide an output signal representative thereof (See FIGS. 8 and 9, item 126 near pole piece 129B and col. 11, lines 4-11).

Each of the magnetic sensors, which are Hall sensors, is designed to receive an equivalent magnetic field so that one can work as a fail safe to the other sensor (See col. 12, lines 53-61).

Application/Control Number: 10/733,892

Art Unit: 2862

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 13 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mase in view of Wendel et al. (US 2003/0137291). Regarding these claims, Mase teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 12, 30, 36 as discussed above.

However, Mase does not explicitly show the arc segments being non-concentric. Wendel et al. Teaches of using a ferromagnetic rotor having a pair of arc shaped, non-concentric portions (see

Art Unit: 2862

FIG. 1, items 8, 10, 11). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify Mase so that the arc shaped pole pieces are non-concentric. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in view of the statements of Mase which note that modification of the rotor pole pieces and the stator pole pieces to change the air gap enables increased detection sensitivity of rotation (See Mase col. 2, line 51 to col. 3, line 50) and the statements of Wendel et al. which notes that altering the arcuate shaped rotor pole piece in a non-concentric manner provides a more linear response from the sensors (See Wendel et al. paragraphs 0005-0009).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20-29 are allowed.

Claims 2-5, 9, 10 and 32-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for allowance and the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 2-5, 20-29 and 32-35, while the prior art shows various designs for surrounding movable hall sensors with pole pieces magnetically coupled to a magnet (See Zhao US

Page 6

6,310,473), the prior art does not disclose a single magnet, each of the pole pieces extending from a proximal end from adjacent to a first pole face of the same single magnet to a distal end adjacent the other pole face and defining an air gap with the other pole face, and having a sensor located in the gap therein.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the prior art similarly does not disclose each of the pole pieces having a proximal portion adjoined to a first pole of the magnet and a distal portion overlapping at least a portion of the second pole.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kogure et al. (US 6,268,722), Goetz et al. (US 6,160,395), Nakazawa (US 5,889,400), Nakamura et al. (US 6,646,435), Matsukawa et al. (US 2002/0135360) and Viola (2004/00171186) each disclose varying designs of position sensors using magnetic sensors and pole pieces extending about the magnetic sensors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth J Whittington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2264. The

Application/Control Number: 10/733,892

Art Unit: 2862

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAY PATIDAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner
Art Unit 2862

Page 7

kjw